


IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: ANJANAIAH, ET AL Docket No: **TI-33534**
Serial No: 09/964,159 Examiner: Grey, Christopher P
Filed: 09/26/2001 Art Unit: 2667
For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR AN INTERFACE UNIT FOR DATA
TRANSFER BETWEEN A DATA PROCESSING UNITS IN THE
ASYNCHRONOUS TRANSFER MODE AND IN THE I/O MODE

**PETITION TO REVIVE PATENT APPLICATION
UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)**

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

<p><u>CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION</u></p> <p>I hereby certify that the following papers are being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office at 571- 272-1885 on <u>01-10</u>, 2006.</p> <p> Lola Aguilar</p>

Dear Sir:

Applicants, through their Attorney, hereby petition the
Commissioner of Patents to revive the above identified
application in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The above identified application became unintentionally
abandoned on or about 12/14/2005, as a result of an inadvertent
error by failure to timely and properly reply to Office Action.

In connection with the abandonment of the above identified
application, the delay was unintentional, and this Petition is
being filed within one year of the date of abandonment and

#126186

TI-33534

Page 1

09964153

01/11/2006 TBESHAH2 00000032 200668

1500.00 DA


01 FC:1453

properly signed Declaration/Power of Attorney and Assignment are enclosed.

Please charge the Deposit Account of Texas Instruments Incorporated, Account No. 20-0668, with the amount sufficient to revive the above identified application.

To the extent necessary, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any fees in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to the Deposit Account of Texas Instruments Incorporated, Account No. 20-0668. **This form is submitted in duplicate.**


Respectfully submitted,



William W. Holloway
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 26,182

Texas Instruments Incorporated
P.O. Box 655474, MS 3999
Dallas, TX 75265
(281) 274-4064

Dated: 01/10/2006

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: ANJANAIAH, ET AL Docket No: **TI-33534**
Serial No: 09/964,159 Examiner: Grey, Christopher P
Filed: 09/26/2001 Art Unit: 2667

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR AN INTERFACE UNIT FOR DATA
TRANSFER BETWEEN A DATA PROCESSING UNITS IN THE
ASYNCHRONOUS TRANSFER MODE AND IN THE I/O MODE

**AFFIDAVIT BY WILLIAM W. HOLLOWAY IN
SUPPORT OF THE PETITION TO REVIVE**

William W. Holloway states that:

I am a Patent Attorney licensed to practice before the U.S.
Patent Office having Registration Number 26, 182;

I am employed by Texas Instruments Incorporated as a Patent
Attorney in Houston Texas;

The principal Patent Law Office of Texas Instruments Incorporated
is in Dallas Texas;

All correspondence from the U.S. Patent Office is mailed to the
Dallas Law Office;

Correspondence related to items being handled in the Houston Law
Office is forwarded to the Houston Office;

Since William W. Holloway joined the Houston Law Office
approximately 5 years ago, this method of handling correspondence
from the U.S. Patent Office relating to matters originating in
the Houston Law Office has proven efficient and effective;

At some time in the summer of 2005, I became aware of a possible
problem in correspondence with the U.S. Patent Office;

This awareness of a possible problem came to my attention when,
over the period of few months, I received calls from 3 U.S.
Patent Office Examiners alerting me to non-responses with respect
to Office Actions extending beyond six months;

Because of the number of non-responses, 3 over a few months, this
matter became of some concern; however, I decided to wait to
receive the Notices of Abandonment and take appropriate action;

After a period time, I realized that the Notices of Abandonment had not been received in the Houston Law Office.

Upon review of current activity as a result of my failure to receive these Notices, it became apparent that my amendment activity did not reflect the number of Patent Applications filed annually from the Houston law Office;

The Dallas Law Office was contacted when this problem crystallized;

We discovered a major flaw had developed between what was being received from the U.S. Patent Office in the Dallas Law Office related to Houston Law Office activity and what was being forwarded to the Houston Law Office;

This flaw had developed in a procedure that had worked reliably for several years in the past;

Prior to the identification of the flawed procedure, I was treated for a pulmonary embolism complicated by atrial fibrillation in September of 2003;

Since that time I have been distracted both by the original medical problems and by the complications derived therefrom;

By way of specific example, I spent a week in April in 2005, in the Whittaker Wellness Institute in Newport Beach, California and am currently being treated by the Life Celebrating Health Association Clinic in Humble, Texas;

By way of further example, in response to Physician recommendations, I am taking 46 pharmaceutical and nutritional pills every day, inhaling oxygen every night, receiving a nutritional shot three times a week, taking 4 powdered supplements every day, and an inhalant twice a day;

This amount of medical attention, as well as the conditions being treated resulted in distractions that compromised my ability to identify the communication problem at an earlier stage;

Furthermore, in the spring Texas Instrument Incorporated had a major product introduction. To complement this introduction, a major effort was undertaken by the Patent Department to identify and file Provisional Applications for related inventions. This effort, including evaluating the filed Provisional Applications,

extended until the end of August, providing a further distraction to identifying and addressing the problem of communication between the Dallas Law Office and the Houston Law Office.

In any event, communication between the Dallas and the Houston Offices has been expanded and now the identification of the materials transmitted there between is provided by separate transmission;

In addition, provision has been made so that the entire Texas Instrument Docket is available to the Houston Office;


The Office Action for the above-identified U.S. Patent Application is one of the communications from the U.S. Patent Office for which there is no record of receipt in the Houston Law Office;

At no time was any consideration given to not proceeding to the issuance of the filed U.S. Patent Application; and

In view of the foregoing facts, the non-response to the Office Action concerning the above-identified U.S. Patent Application was unintentional and without deceptive intent.

Now Therefore,

In view of the foregoing facts, Applicant respectfully requests that the above-identified U.S. Patent Application be revived, the payment of the Issue Fee be accepted, and the above-identified Patent Application be moved to issue.



William W. Holloway
Registration Number 26,182

Dated: 01/10/2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: ANJANAIAH, ET AL Docket No: **TI-33534**
Serial No: 09/964,159 Examiner: Grey, Christopher P
Filed: 09/26/2001 Art Unit: 2667
For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR AN INTERFACE UNIT FOR DATA
TRANSFER BETWEEN A DATA PROCESSING UNITS IN THE
ASYNCHRONOUS TRANSFER MODE AND IN THE I/O MODE

**AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF A
PETITION TO REVIVE**

Dolores S. Aguilar states that:

I am an Administrative Assistant in the Houston Law Office of Texas Instruments Incorporated;

In this position, I am responsible for the receipt of and processing of communications from the U.S. Patent Office that have been forwarded to the Houston Law Office from the Dallas Law Office of Texas Instruments Incorporated;

The procedure for processing communications since May 2005 has been as follows;

Correspondence from the U.S. Patent Office is mailed to the Dallas Law Office;

Correspondence from the U.S. Patent Office related to matters being handled in the Houston Office is then forwarded to the Houston Law Office;

Upon receipt of correspondence from the U.S. Patent Office, I would retrieve the related file;

A form appropriate to the correspondence would be prepared and, along with the related file and the U.S. Patent Office communication, placed on a counter top in clear view of the patent attorney's office;

In this manner, the responses that were to be prepared were ready visible and available permitting the work to be prioritized by the patent attorney;

This system has been in place since approximately May of 2000 and, until recently, has proven eminently satisfactory;

Recently, when expected communications from the U.S. Patent Office did not arrive in Houston, the transmission of communications from the Dallas Law Office to the Houston Law Office was examined closely;

It was found that for several months, communications from the U.S. Patent Office had not been transmitted from the Dallas Law Office to the Houston Law Office;

Because of the lack of receipt in the Houston Law Office, numerous cases have become inadvertently abandoned because of a flaw that developed in a previously reliable system;


One of the abandoned cases is the subject of present Petition to Revive;

This U.S. Patent Application, as with the other U.S., Patent Applications, became unintentionally abandoned through inadvertence and without deceptive intent;

It was never the intention to abandon this U.S. Patent Application or any of the other U.S. Patent Application that became abandoned during this time period.


Dolores S. Aguilar

Dated: 01/10/2006



Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

09/964,159

Examiner

Christopher P. Grey

Applicant(s)

ANJANAIAH ET AL.

Art Unit

2667

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 16 May 2005.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:

CHI PHAM
PERMISSORY PATENT EXAMINER

RECEIVED BY POSTAL SERVICE

12/12/05

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

CDPV

Part of Paper No. 2